



Atty. Dkt. No. 040425-0147

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Jun-ichi MATSUDA

Title: DEVICE INFORMATION ACQUISITION METHOD, DEVICE
CONTROLLER, AND BRIDGE

Appl. No.: 09/671,579

Filing Date: 09/27/2000

Examiner: Eron J. Sorrell

Art Unit: 2182

RECEIVED

JUN 25 2003

Technology Center 2100

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
UNDER 37 CFR § 1.56

Commissioner for Patents
Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Submitted herewith on a modified Form PTO SB/08 is a listing of document(s) known to Applicant in order to comply with Applicant's duty of disclosure pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.56. A copy of the listed document(s) is being submitted to comply with the provisions of 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.97-1.98.

The submission of any document herewith, which is not a statutory bar, is not intended as an admission that such document constitutes prior art against the claims of the present application or that such document is considered material to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(b). Applicant does not waive any rights to take any action which would be appropriate to antedate or otherwise remove as a competent reference any document which is determined to be a *prima facie* prior art reference against the claims of the present application.

TIMING OF THE DISCLOSURE

This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed after the mailing date of a first Office Action, but before the mailing date of either a Final Office Action under 37 C.F.R. § 1.113 or a Notice of Allowance under 37 C.F.R. § 1.311.

In the alternative, this Information Disclosure Statement is being filed under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c)(1).

STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)

The undersigned hereby states in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(1) that each item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was first cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three (3) months prior to the filing of this Statement and that this Statement is being filed within 30 days of receipt of said communication.

RELEVANCE OF EACH DOCUMENT

The Examiner in the corresponding Japanese application stated that the references were noted, but that there was no prior art rejection.

A copy of an English language abstract of the listed documents, if available, is being provided. The absence of a translation or an English-language counterpart document does not relieve the PTO from its duty to consider this document (37 C.F.R. § 1.98 and M.P.E.P. § 609).

It is believed that the instant information disclosure statement is being filed prior to the mailing date of a Final Office Action or Notice of Allowance. However, if this information disclosure statement is filed after the mailing date of a Final Office Action or Notice of Allowance, applicant(s) hereby request(s) and authorize(s) the PTO to charge the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(p) to Deposit Account No. 19-0741.

Applicant(s) respectfully request(s) that any listed document be considered by the Examiner and be made of record in the present application and that an initialed copy of Form PTO/SB/08B be returned in accordance with M.P.E.P. § 609.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 18, 2003

By *Ronald Coslick*

FOLEY & LARDNER
Customer Number: 22428



22428

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

Telephone: (202) 672-5407

Facsimile: (202) 672-5399

Ronald Coslick
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 36,489